Slavery and the British Industrial Revolution

Stephan Heblich
University of Toronto and NBER

Steve Redding
Princeton University, NBER and CEPR

Hans-Joachim Voth
University of Zurich and CEPR

Motivation

- A large empirical literature has quantified the negative effects of slavery on African society and economic development

Motivation

- A large empirical literature has quantified the negative effects of slavery on African society and economic development
- To what extent was the development of enslaving countries achieved through the enslavement and exploitation of black Africans?
  - We examine the impact of exogenous variation in slavery wealth on Britain's industrial revolution and economic growth

Motivation

- A large empirical literature has quantified the negative effects of slavery on African society and economic development
- To what extent was the development of enslaving countries achieved through the enslavement and exploitation of black Africans?
  - We examine the impact of exogenous variation in slavery wealth on Britain's industrial revolution and economic growth
- Central debate in the economic history literature

Motivation

- A large empirical literature has quantified the negative effects of slavery on African society and economic development
- To what extent was the development of enslaving countries achieved through the enslavement and exploitation of black Africans?
  - We examine the impact of exogenous variation in slavery wealth on Britain's industrial revolution and economic growth
- Central debate in the economic history literature
- One the one hand: Williams Hypothesis
  - "Britain was accumulating great wealth from the triangular trade ... the investment of profits from the triangular trade in British industry ... supplied ... the huge outlay for the construction of vast plants to meet the needs of the new productive process ..." (*Williams 1944*)

Motivation

- A large empirical literature has quantified the negative effects of slavery on African society and economic development
- To what extent was the development of enslaving countries achieved through the enslavement and exploitation of black Africans?
  - We examine the impact of exogenous variation in slavery wealth on Britain's industrial revolution and economic growth
- Central debate in the economic history literature
- One the one hand: Williams Hypothesis
  - "Britain was accumulating great wealth from the triangular trade ... the investment of profits from the triangular trade in British industry ... supplied ... the huge outlay for the construction of vast plants to meet the needs of the new productive process ..." (*Williams 1944*)
- On the other hand: Disputed
  - "African slavery ... did not ... cause the British Industrial Revolution ... its role was no greater than that of many other economic activities." (*Eltis and Engerman 2000*)

This Paper

- New theory and evidence on the impact of slavery wealth on the industrial revolution and economic development in Britain
  - Impact of colonial slavery on domestic economic development
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading

This Paper

- New theory and evidence on the impact of slavery wealth on the industrial revolution and economic development in Britain
  - Impact of colonial slavery on domestic economic development
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading
- Use geographic variation in participation in slavery within Britain
  - Individual-level data on compensation paid by the British Parliament to slaveholders following the 1833 Act to abolish slavery
  - Exploit exogenous source of variation from middle-passage mortality

This Paper

- New theory and evidence on the impact of slavery wealth on the industrial revolution and economic development in Britain
  - Impact of colonial slavery on domestic economic development
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading
- Use geographic variation in participation in slavery within Britain
  - Individual-level data on compensation paid by the British Parliament to slaveholders following the 1833 Act to abolish slavery
  - Exploit exogenous source of variation from middle-passage mortality
- New micro data at a fine spatial scale within Britain
  - Data on population, employment, plant location, and the value of land and buildings for around 11,000 parishes in England and Wales
  - Geocoded locations of individual slaveholders in 1833

This Paper

- New theory and evidence on the impact of slavery wealth on the industrial revolution and economic development in Britain
  - Impact of colonial slavery on domestic economic development
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading
- Use geographic variation in participation in slavery within Britain
  - Individual-level data on compensation paid by the British Parliament to slaveholders following the 1833 Act to abolish slavery
  - Exploit exogenous source of variation from middle-passage mortality
- New micro data at a fine spatial scale within Britain
  - Data on population, employment, plant location, and the value of land and buildings for around 11,000 parishes in England and Wales
  - Geocoded locations of individual slaveholders in 1833
- Theoretical model of international trade, structural transformation and economic development

This Paper

- New theory and evidence on the impact of slavery wealth on the industrial revolution and economic development in Britain
  - Impact of colonial slavery on domestic economic development
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading
- Use geographic variation in participation in slavery within Britain
  - Individual-level data on compensation paid by the British Parliament to slaveholders following the 1833 Act to abolish slavery
  - Exploit exogenous source of variation from middle-passage mortality
- New micro data at a fine spatial scale within Britain
  - Data on population, employment, plant location, and the value of land and buildings for around 11,000 parishes in England and Wales
  - Geocoded locations of individual slaveholders in 1833
- Theoretical model of international trade, structural transformation and economic development
- Find substantial effects of slavery wealth on local industrial activity and economic development

Individual Wealth

- At the individual level, the wealth obtained from slavery was large
- Grade I-listed Harewood House is still owned by the Lascelles family, who amassed much of their wealth from slavery
- Second Earl of Harewood, Henry Lascelles, received £26,307 for 1,277 slaves, equals £19m (inflation adjusted) or £128m (share of GDP)



Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions

Historical Background

- Britain involved in the slave trade from 1560s (expands after 1640)
  - Slavery concentrated in colonial plantations (sugar, tobacco, cotton)
  - Predates industrial revolution (which occurred from 1760 onwards)

Historical Background

- Britain involved in the slave trade from 1560s (expands after 1640)
  - Slavery concentrated in colonial plantations (sugar, tobacco, cotton)
  - Predates industrial revolution (which occurred from 1760 onwards)
- By 1700s, the "triangular slave trade" was an economic mainstay of the West Coast ports of Bristol and Liverpool
  - Britain — West Africa — Caribbean and North America
  - From 1701-1807, British ships estimated to have carried $>$ 2.5 million slaves, more than one third of $>$ 6 million total


Historical Background

- Britain involved in the slave trade from 1560s (expands after 1640)
  - Slavery concentrated in colonial plantations (sugar, tobacco, cotton)
  - Predates industrial revolution (which occurred from 1760 onwards)
- By 1700s, the "triangular slave trade" was an economic mainstay of the West Coast ports of Bristol and Liverpool
  - Britain — West Africa — Caribbean and North America
  - From 1701-1807, British ships estimated to have carried $>$ 2.5 million slaves, more than one third of $>$ 6 million total
- Slave Trade Act 1807
  - Growing abolitionist campaign, particularly after Zong massacre in 1781
  - Prohibited the slave trade (but not slavery) in the British Empire


Historical Background

- Britain involved in the slave trade from 1560s (expands after 1640)
  - Slavery concentrated in colonial plantations (sugar, tobacco, cotton)
  - Predates industrial revolution (which occurred from 1760 onwards)
- By 1700s, the "triangular slave trade" was an economic mainstay of the West Coast ports of Bristol and Liverpool
  - Britain — West Africa — Caribbean and North America
  - From 1701-1807, British ships estimated to have carried $>$ 2.5 million slaves, more than one third of $>$ 6 million total
- Slave Trade Act 1807
  - Growing abolitionist campaign, particularly after Zong massacre in 1781
  - Prohibited the slave trade (but not slavery) in the British Empire
- Slavery Abolition Act 1833
  - Made purchase or ownership of slaves illegal within British Empire, except East India Company, Ceylon, and Saint Helena (eliminated 1843)
  - British government raised £20 million (40% of gov revenue, 5% of GDP, debt paid off in 2015) for compensation of *slaveholders*
  - Only slaves below the age of 6 were emancipated immediately
  - Slaves over the age of 6 were indentured as "apprentices," with final apprenticeships not scheduled to end until 1840


Number of Voyages



Triangular Trade




Middle Passage



Understanding the British Industrial Revolution

- Growth pre-1830
  1. Per capita growth accellerates (mildly) after ca. 1680
  2. Relationship between population and income changes radically after 1770
- This paper:
  1. Examines cross-sectional differences by the 1830s
  2. Calibrates a model to examine hgow much poorer 1830s Britain would ahve been without *overseas* slavery



Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions

Data

- Legacies of British Slavery Database (UCL)
  - Based on compensation claims paid by British parliament under 1833 Act
  - Around 25,000 slaveholders, who held slaves in the British Caribbean, Mauritius or the Cape, including number of slaves & compensation
- Slave Voyages Database
  - >10,000 voyages by British owners, including >2.9 million enslaved
  - Ship captain and owners, slaves embarked and disembarked, voyage origin, principal place of purchase, principal place of landing, year
- Population census of England and Wales
  - Data for around 11,000 parishes
  - Population (from 1801), employment by occupation/industry (from 1831)
- Parliamentary return of location of all mills and factories in 1839
- 800 years property values: 1066 Domesday; 1344 Lay Subsidy; 1535 Valor Ecclesiasticus; 1690/1798 land tax; Rateable values 1815-1896
- Ancestry.com – family trees
- British Newspaper Archive (BNA) for steam adoption

Jamaica Compensation

JAMAICA.

Divisions.	Classes.	Average Value of a Slave as appraised by the sworn Valuators. £ s. d.	Compensation per Slave. £ s. d.
Prædial Attached	Head People	78 4 1½	31 0 6¾
	Tradesmen	78 17 8	31 5 11¼
	Inferior Tradesmen	52 2 11	20 13 9¼
	Field Labourers	67 1 5¾	26 12 2¾
	Inferior Field Labourers	32 5 9¾	12 16 2¾
Prædial Unattached	Head People	78 4 10	31 0 10
	Tradesmen	79 11 0	31 11 2¾
	Inferior Tradesmen	52 13 4¼	20 17 11
	Field Labourers	66 19 7¾	26 11 6
	Inferior Field Labourers	33 6 2¼	13 4 3¾
Non-prædial	Head Tradesmen	78 0 7	30 19 2
	Inferior Tradesmen	51 17 0	20 11 5
	Head People employed on Wharfs, Shipping, or other Avocations	76 6 1	30 5 5½
	Inferior People of the same Description	57 3 7¼	22 13 8¾
	Head Domestics	73 9 9½	29 3 1½
	Inferior Domestics	49 5 1¾	19 10 10¼
	Children under Six Years of Age on 1st August 1834	13 17 0¼	5 9 10¾
	Aged, diseased, or otherwise non-effective	10 18 5¼	4 6 8

Example Claim

Centre for the Study of the
Legacies of British Slavery (/lbs/)



Henry Lascelles, 2nd Earl of Harewood

Profile & Legacies Summary

25th Dec 1767 - 24th Nov 1841

CLAIMANT OR BENEFICIARY

Biography

1.
Henry Lascelles, 2nd Earl of Harewood, son of Edward Lascelles (1739-1820), 1st Earl and Anne Chaloner. Landowner. 'The family made its money in the West Indies'. Styled Viscount Lascelles, 3 June 1814-1820; succeeded his father as 2nd Earl of Harewood, 3 April 1820.

Addresses (1)

Harewood House, Yorkshire, Yorkshire, England — **DETAILS (/LBS/ADDRESS/VIEW/1922/6180)**

Example Claim


Associated Claims (6)

Barbados 211 (Belle) (/lbs/claim/view/5922)	£6,486 1s 6d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/5922)
Barbados 2769 (Fortescues) (/lbs/claim/view/3115)	£3,291 11s 4d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/3115)
Barbados 2770 (Thicket) (/lbs/claim/view/3116)	£5,810 5s 6d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/3116)
Barbados 3817 (Mount St George) (/lbs/claim/view/6143)	£3,835 6s 5d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/6143)
Jamaica St Dorothy 23 (Nightingale Grove Estate) (/lbs/claim/view/20581)	£2,599 0s 4d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/20581)
Jamaica St Thomas-in-the-Vale 147 (Williamsfield Estate) (/lbs/claim/view/19790)	£4,286 19s 3d	Awardee	DETAILS (/LBS/CLAIM/VIEW/19790)


Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions

Slaveholding in England & Wales in 1833




Compensation and Number Enslaved





schedule


Correlations 1833 Slave Claims with 1831/1839 Outcomes












Property Value Gradients




- Conditional correlation after controlling for latitude, longitude and population Domesday

Property Value Gradients




- Conditional correlation after controlling for latitude, longitude and population

Property Value Gradients




- Conditional correlation after controlling for latitude, longitude and population

Property Value Gradients



- Conditional correlation after controlling for latitude, longitude and population

Property Value Gradients



- Conditional correlation after controlling for latitude, longitude and population


Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions

Dynamic Specific Factors Model

- Economy consists of many domestic locations and an overseas colony
- Three types of agents: capitalists and free workers in domestic locations, and enslaved workers in the colony (Ricardo-Viner)
- Domestic agriculture uses free labor and land
- Domestic manufacturing uses free labor and capital
- Colonial plantation products use enslaved labor and capital
- Workers are perfectly mobile across domestic locations
- Capitalists are immobile and heterogeneous in wealth (a_{nt})
- Capitalists can allocate their wealth to domestic investments in manufacturing, colonial investments, and/or consumption bond
- Domestic/colonial investments subject to idiosyncratic productivity shocks and imperfect substitutes (Koijen-Yogo JPE 2019)
- Capitalists face collateral constraints (Moll AER 2014): $k_{nt} \leq \lambda_{nt} a_{nt}$
- Access to slavery investments relaxes collateral constraints and raises the expected return to capital accumulation


Dynamic Specific Factors Model




Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions


Identification Strategy



Large Variation in Mortality




Duration and Mortality





- Variation in duration largely driven by weather in age of sail

Middle-Passage Survival



Middle-Passage Exit






Two Instruments


- **Challenge**: assign exogenous varition in voyage success across space
- Two strategies to predict familial connections to slave voyagers
  1. Voyager family trees reported on Ancestry
  2. Voyager surnames from the 1851 fullcount Census

Voyage Success Measure

- Normalize mortality by decade
- Define voyager v's average *voyage success* as $VS_v = \sum_{vj} \frac{1}{mortality_{vj}}$




Family Tree



- Slave holder
- Slave trader and holder
- Awardee


Ancestry.com Tree




- Use Ancestry.com to find ancestors of slave-trading Lascelles
- Collect birth, death locations
- Use ancestor locations to map middle-passage mortality to parishes

Ancestors of Slave Traders

- Family trees with ancestors for 1,484 slave traders
- 20,849 ancestors of slave traders
- Ancestors spread over 1,582 parishes




Ancestor share and industrialization




- First stage and reduced forms over terciles of ancestor share.

Surnames in the 1851 Census




(a) Surname Distribution




(b) Lorenz Curve of Surname Distribution

- 17,474,083 individuals with 330,329 distinct surnames
- 91% of 2,230 distinct voyager surnames matched
- Voyager surnames are more common than non-voyager surnames

First-stage


Slave Claims
0
1
2
3
4
0
.002
.004
.006
.008
Mortality-scaled Ancestor Share


Slave Claims
0
1
2
3
4
2.5
3
3.5
4
4.5
5
Mortality-scaled Surname Share

Outline

- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
  - Baseline Estimates
- Conclusions

Mortality-Scaled Family Tree Instrument

- *Voyage Success Instrument* (VSI) using ancesters in the family tree:

$$VSI_i^{tree} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \frac{1}{VS_{a(v)i}} \tag{1}$$

- i: parishes
- $a(v)$: voyager v's ancestors
- $VS_{a(v)}$: avg middle-passage mortality assigned to voyager v's ancestors
- A: set of all ancestors

IV Estimation Mortality

- First-stage regression

$$S_i = C_1 + \alpha VSI_i + \gamma X_i' + \rho_i$$

- Second-stage regression

$$Y_i = C_2 + \beta \widehat{S_i} + \delta X_i' + \epsilon_i$$

- where
  - i: parish
  - S_i : slaveholding
  - **$\mathbf{VSI_i}$: mortality-scaled ancestor instrument**
  - X_i : controls
  - Y_i : economic outcome of interest

Mortality Scaled Surname Instrument

- *Voyage Success Instrument* (VSI) using surnames in the 1851 Census
- Monte Carlo simulations account for frequency and spatial dispersion
  - Randomly match slave voyagers to individuals in 1851 census
  - Repeat this procedure $l = 1, \ldots, 1000$ times
  - Aggregate in each iteration the voyager-specific successes measures VS_{vil} across all randomly matched voyager-surnames k in parish i
- Calculate parish i's voyage success as average across all iterations i:

$$VSI_i^{sname} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{v=1}^{k} VS_{vil} \tag{2}$$

Balance Table Mortality

Variable	(1) None Mean/SE	(2) Unsucessful Mean/SE	(3) Successful Mean/SE	T-test Difference (1)-(2)	(1)-(3)	(2)-(3)
Domesday Wealth (1086)	3.16	4.47	4.27	-1.31***	-1.11***	0.20
	(0.11)	(0.15)	(0.15)			
Wealth Subsidy (1334)	4.14	4.33	4.40	-0.19	-0.26	-0.06
	(0.04)	(0.08)	(0.08)			
Property Wealth (1690)	21.35	22.03	21.92	-0.68***	-0.57**	0.11
	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.08)			
Cotton Mills (1788)	0.05	0.30	0.24	-0.25***	-0.19***	0.07
	(0.01)	(0.05)	(0.05)			
Longitude	-1.98	-1.64	-1.68	-0.34	-0.30	0.04
	(0.08)	(0.10)	(0.11)			
Latitude	52.50	52.46	52.46	0.04	0.04	0.00
	(0.06)	(0.09)	(0.09)			
Dist Historic Port	19.44	22.68	21.73	-3.24**	-2.28	0.95
	(0.65)	(1.21)	(1.33)			
Dist Liverpool	214.56	187.46	187.64	27.10***	26.93***	-0.18
	(3.81)	(7.53)	(7.33)			
N	510	163	170			


First Stage

	(1)	(2) +Controls
A. Ancestor Share	0.249***	0.200***
	(0.04)	(0.03)
KPW F-Stat	40.74	36.64
B. Ancestor Share (mort. cells)	0.198***	0.160***
	(0.04)	(0.03)
KPW F-Stat	28.16	26.13
C. Mortality Scaling	0.207***	0.164***
	(0.03)	(0.03)
KPW F-Stat	36.07	30.68
D. Mort-Scaled Surnames	0.384***	0.429***
	(0.04)	(0.05)
KPW F-Stat	118.33	75.12
Observations	849	849

IV Voyage Success

	(1) SlaveClaims	(2) SteamEng-1830	(3) PropTax1815	(4) %Agric1831	(5) %Manuf1831	(6) CottonMill-1839
A. Mort-Scaled Ancestors	0.164***					
	(0.03)					
Slave Claims		1.738***	0.353**	-0.775***	0.941***	0.801***
		(0.42)	(0.15)	(0.19)	(0.27)	(0.28)
N Voyagers	286	286	286	286	286	286
KPW F-Stat		30.68	30.68	30.68	30.68	30.68
AR p-value		0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
B. Mort-Scaled Surnames	0.429***					
	(0.05)					
Slave Claims		0.732***	0.341***	-1.500***	1.306***	0.681***
		(0.20)	(0.07)	(0.19)	(0.19)	(0.12)
N Voyagers	2082	2082	2082	2082	2082	2082
KPW F-Stat		75.12	75.12	75.12	75.12	75.12
AR p-value		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Observations	847	847	847	847	847	847
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Comparison NT-IV



▸ Mortality Table ▸ Surname Table

Steam Engines and Slavery

	(1) Pre-1792	(2) 1792-1830	(3) 1830-1850	(4) Post-1850
A. OLS	0.0925*	0.0982**	0.107**	0.0688*
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.04)
F-Stat	3.34	4.26	5.84	2.99
B. IV	0.305	1.738***	1.399***	1.287***
	(0.26)	(0.42)	(0.35)	(0.31)
KPW F-Stat	30.7	30.7	30.7	30.7
Observations	849	849	849	849

Quantitative Model

- Parameter calibration


Parameter	**Value**
Labor Share Manufacturing (α^M)	0.65
Labor Share Agriculture (α^A)	0.60
Migration Elasticity (κ)	2
Tightness collateral constraints (λ)	2
Investment Substitutability (θ)	8

- Data sources

Variable	**Source**
Sector Employment	Population Census
Domestic Capital and Land Values	Rateable Values
Slavery Capital	Slavery Compensation Data


- Start at the observed equilibrium in the data in 1831 and undertake a counterfactual for the steady-state impact of removing access to slavery investments

Population Redistribution




- Removing access to slavery investments redistributes population away from locations with high 1831 slavery capital shares

(De)Structural Transformation



- Employment reallocation towards agriculture within locations with high 1831 slavery capital shares

Aggregate Effects



- Removing access to slavery investments reduces aggregate income, with capitalists experiencing the largest income losses, and landowners experiencing income gains

Outline


- Historical background
- Data
- Descriptive evidence
- Theoretical model
- Identification
- Empirical Results
- Conclusions

Conclusions

- To what extent was the development of enslaving countries achieved through the enslavement and exploitation of black Africans?
- Provide evidence of a substantial, positive impact of slavery wealth on structural transformation and economic growth in Britain
  - Individual compensation claims from Slavery Abolition Act 1833
  - Slave holding rather than slave trading
  - Exploit geographic variation in slavery wealth within Britain using slave holders' and slave traders' family trees.
  - Exogenous variation in slavery wealth from middle-passage mortality
- Develop a spatial general equilibrium model that rationalizes these findings in terms of collateral constraints. Slavery wealth
  - relaxes collateral constraints
  - increases capital accumulation
  - induces structural transformation


Thank You

Valor Ecclesiasticus 1535




- Distribution of monasterial wealth before large-scale British participation in slaveholding from the 1640s onwards

Valor Ecclesiasticus 1535




- Little relationship between monastery income in 1534 and future slave-holding in 1833 (excluding London)

Domesday 1088




back

Direct Trade with the West Indies



Direct Trade with the West Indies



Graphs by good

Never-Taker Mortality

	(1) SteamEng-1830	(2) PropTax1815	(3) %Agric1831	(4) %Manuf1831	(5) CottonMill-1839
Mort-Scaled Ancestors	0.07	0.05*	-0.12	0.25***	0.18**
	(0.06)	(0.02)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Population (1780)	-0.01	0.08***	-0.20***	0.13***	0.03
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
Latitude	-0.03**	0.36***	-0.11***	0.07***	0.06***
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Longitude	-0.00	-0.18***	0.10***	-0.06***	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Dist Country Bank (1780)	-0.05	-0.08**	0.32***	-0.27***	-0.22***
	(0.06)	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.05)
Cotton Mills (1788)	-0.03	-0.01	-0.47***	0.73***	1.01***
	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.11)	(0.15)	(0.13)
Dist Post Town (1791)	-0.10**	-0.06*	0.33***	-0.20***	-0.04
	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.05)
Dist Coast	0.03*	0.02**	0.04	0.06***	0.05***
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Property Wealth (1690)	0.01	0.87***	0.18**	0.02	0.01
	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.03)
N	567	567	567	567	567
F-stat	1.4	204.8	19.1	20.7	26.4

back

IV Count of Voyages

	(1) SlaveClaims	(2) SteamEng-1830	(3) PropTax1815	(4) %Agric1831	(5) %Manuf1831	(6) CottonMill-1839
Voyages-Scaled Ancestors	0.13***					
	(0.03)					
Slave Claims		1.77***	0.33**	-0.72***	1.15***	0.80*
		(0.38)	(0.15)	(0.25)	(0.43)	(0.42)
Observations	849	849	849	849	849	849
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
N Voyagers	1484	1484	1484	1484	1484	1484
KPW F-stat		19.18	19.18	19.18	19.18	19.18
AR p-value		0.00	0.06	0.01	0.00	0.07

Never-Taker Count of Voyages

	(1) SteamEng-1830	(2) PropTax1815	(3) %Agric1831	(4) %Manuf1831	(5) CottonMill-1839
Voyages-Scaled Ancestors	0.07	0.04	-0.13*	0.32***	0.23***
	(0.07)	(0.03)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.08)
Population (1780)	-0.01	0.08***	-0.20***	0.13***	0.03
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
Latitude	-0.03**	0.36***	-0.11***	0.06**	0.05**
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Longitude	-0.00	-0.18***	0.10***	-0.06***	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Dist Country Bank (1780)	-0.05	-0.08**	0.31***	-0.25***	-0.21***
	(0.06)	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Cotton Mills (1788)	-0.03	-0.00	-0.48***	0.70***	1.00***
	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.11)	(0.14)	(0.12)
Dist Post Town (1791)	-0.11**	-0.07*	0.34***	-0.23***	-0.06
	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.05)
Dist Coast	0.03**	0.02**	0.03	0.07***	0.05***
	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Property Wealth (1690)	0.01	0.87***	0.18***	0.01	0.01
	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.03)
N	567	567	567	567	567
F-stat	1.4	205.9	19.4	22.0	27.3

back

Never-Taker Mort-Scaled Surnames

	(1) SteamEng-1830	(2) PropTax1815	(3) %Agric1831	(4) %Manuf1831	(5) CottonMill-1839
Mort-Scaled Surnames	0.11**	0.06*	-0.58***	0.55***	0.21***
	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Population (1780)	-0.03	0.07***	-0.10***	0.04*	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Latitude	-0.06**	0.34***	0.03	-0.06**	0.01
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Longitude	-0.00	-0.17***	0.08***	-0.05***	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Dist Country Bank (1780)	-0.03	-0.08***	0.19***	-0.15***	-0.18***
	(0.06)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Cotton Mills (1788)	-0.04	-0.02	-0.25***	0.61***	1.02***
	(0.08)	(0.04)	(0.09)	(0.12)	(0.11)
Dist Post Town (1791)	-0.07*	-0.07**	0.21***	-0.08	0.00
	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.06)
Dist Coast	0.03**	0.03**	0.02	0.07***	0.05***
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Property Wealth (1690)	-0.01	0.82***	0.36***	-0.14***	-0.04
	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.03)
N	566	566	566	566	566
F-stat	1.4	219.0	40.7	33.3	26.7

back