# Adolescent Antidepressant Use and Academic Achievement

Sonia Bhalotra
University of Warwick, CEPR, IEA, IZA

N. Meltem Daysal
University of Copenhagen, CEBI and IZA

Nis Vestergård Lydiksen
Kraka Advisory

Mircea Trandafir
University of Southern Denmark and IZA

July 2021

# Motivation: prevalence of mental health disorders


- Mental health disorders (MHD) affect 11% of the world population
- They account for 10% of years lived with disability
- Growing disease burden: by 2030, depression alone is predicted to become the leading cause of disability in high-income countries
- Most mental health disorders emerge during childhood (Kessler et al., 2007)
  - US (age 3–17): 7.1% anxiety, 3.2% depression, 7.4% behavioral problems (CDC)
  - Denmark (up to age 18): 6.1% anxiety, 1.64% depression, 1.68% eating and personality disorders (Dalsgaard et al., 2020)

# Motivation: the treatment gap

- Large treatment gaps: estimated at 60% (Kohn et al., 2004)
- Treating MHDs could potentially bring health and economic gains
- Given negative selection into MHDs and positive selection into treatment, increasing access to treatment could also lower health and economic inequality
- Early intervention is important: most MHDs have inbuilt persistence so the gains from treatment could be greater if they are introduced early in life

# Motivation: pharmaceutical treatment of MHDs

- Antidepressants (SSRI) are the first line of pharmaceutical treatment for mental health disorders among youth
- Strong trend in use, not driven by increased diagnosis (Pottegård et al., 2014)




Number of 5–17 yeard olds with SSRI prescription per 1,000 children (Pottegård et al., 2014)

# What we do

- Investigate impacts of antidepressant treatment on Math and Language test scores at age 16
- Use Danish administrative data on all children who contacted a private child psychiatrist during ages 8-15
- Address selection into receiving antidepressant treatment by leveraging plausibly exogenous variation in the prescribing tendency of psychiatrists
- Estimate marginal treatment effects (MTE)

# What we find

- SSRI treatment increases test scores; estimates larger and only significant for Math
- Estimates larger and only significant for girls
- The slope of the MTE curve is consistent with positive selection into SSRI treatment
- MTEs are positive except at the very top of the distribution of unobserved resistance to treatment

# Related Research

- Previous work on depression and school performance
  - Depression raises school dropout and lowers GPAs, especially for girls, identified with sibling FE (Fletcher 2008, 2010), individual FE (Eisenberg et al., 2009), and genetic markers as instruments (Ding et al., 2009)
  - Anti-anxiety app raises performance on a cognitive task (Cavatorta et al., 2020)
  - **Only one study of antidepressants and GPA:** 2004 FDA warning reduced antidepressant use and led to lower test scores among adolescents with probable depression (Busch et al., 2014)
- Previous work on treatment of depression and economic activity
  - Treating depression increases labour supply (Lund et al., 2019 provide a meta-analysis of 36 RCTs in developing countries) and control over household income (Baranov et al., 2020)
  - 2007 FDA warning lowered female employment (Butikofer et al., 2020)

# Contributions

- First attempt at estimating the causal effect of antidepressant use on cognition
  - contribute to evidence that treating MHDs has an economic payoff
  - results speak to ongoing controversy on over- vs under-use of antidepressants among children
- Shed light on mechanisms because our results are consistent with evidence that:
  - attention deficits have a larger impact on math than on language performance (Marie and Zolitz, 2017)
  - girls are more sensitive to attention deficits (Marie and Zolitz, 2017; Cavatorta et al., 2020)
- Provide evidence that interventions beyond early-childhood may still have important long-run effects (Hendren and Sprung-Keyser, 2020)

## Diagnosis and treatment of mental health disorders

- In Denmark, non-elective health care is free of charge for all residents
- The first step in diagnosis is to seek an evaluation by a GP
- Evaluation requests can be made by parents as well as school psychologists, teachers, or school nurses
- GPs act as a gatekeeper for specialist care
- The Danish Health and Medicines Authority exclusively delegates diagnosis and treatment of children and adolescents to specialists
  - private child psychiatry practices
  - hospital psychiatric departments
- Severe cases are generally assigned to hospitals
- Once a diagnosis is made, the initial course of treatment includes non-pharmacological interventions (e.g., psychotherapy, supportive consultations)
- If a pharmacological treatment is prescribed, prescription drug costs are subsidized

# Empirical strategy

- Our main equation of interest is:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + AD_i\beta_1 + \mathbf{X}_i\beta_2 + \gamma_b + \alpha_t + m_i + \epsilon_i$$

  where the unit of observation is child $i$
  - $Y_i$ is a standardized test score in 9th grade
  - $AD_i$ is an indicator for SSRI use
  - $\mathbf{X}_i$ is a vector of observable characteristics measured at baseline (when the child is 6)
  - $\gamma_b$, $\alpha_t$, and $m_i$ are year-of-birth, year-of-diagnosis, and municipality-of-residence fixed effects
- Standard errors are clustered at the specialist and year-of-diagnosis level
- The parameter of interest $\beta_1$ captures the average effect of SSRI use on academic achievement

## Instrument

- Limited number of specialists constrain parental choice of providers (31 child psychiatry units in the entire country in 2003)
- Instrument for child $i$ is the fraction of other 8–15 year old children who obtain SSRI from the same specialist $k$ in the year of the first MH visit of child $i$:

$$PP_i^k = \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} AD_j^k}{N^k}$$

- Assignment to the first specialist averts endogenous provider changes
- Data span 15 years so we calculate the instrument at the physician-year level, allowing learning or other changes in specialist preferences over time (Mueller-Smith, 2015)
- If the 2SLS assumptions are satisfied, the 2SLS estimand represents the weighted average of the causal effect of SSRI treatment among those who would not have received pharmacological treatment had they first seen a different psychiatrist

# Data and analysis sample

- Sample of native children born between 1989–1999
- Define a newly diagnosed mental health disorder as:
  - no contact with a child psychiatrist at ages 6–7
  - contact with a child psychiatrist between ages 8–15
- Among all children aged 8–15 the share of children with a new MH diagnosis after age 8 is 5.4%
- 15% of these children are diagnosed by a private psychiatrist and 85% by a hospital psychiatric department
- We focus on 4,940 children diagnosed in 21 private child psychiatry practices (hospitals take more severe cases and we do not observe the diagnosing physician)
  - median age at diagnosis is 11.6
  - 18% fill an SSRI prescription between ages 8–15
  - median age at first SSRI prescription is 13
  - median duration between diagnosis and first SSRI prescription is 61 days

# Descriptive statistics

| | No psychiatric contact (1) | Analysis sample All (2) | No SSRI (3) | SSRI (4) | $p$-value (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **A. Outcomes** | | | | | |
| Standardized test score, math | 0.073 | −0.235 | −0.249 | −0.172 | 0.070* |
| | (0.973) | (1.018) | (1.025) | (0.983) | |
| Standardized test score, Danish | 0.059 | −0.140 | −0.184 | 0.056 | 0.000*** |
| | (0.986) | (1.017) | (1.013) | (1.013) | |
| **B. Child characteristics** | | | | | |
| Boy | 0.506 | 0.613 | 0.637 | 0.506 | 0.000*** |
| First born | 0.440 | 0.480 | 0.485 | 0.458 | 0.135 |
| Parents are married | 0.806 | 0.672 | 0.653 | 0.756 | 0.000*** |
| Observations | 589,023 | 4,940 | 4,036 | 904 | |


# Descriptive statistics

| | No psychiatric contact (1) | Analysis sample All (2) | No SSRI (3) | SSRI (4) | *p*-value (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **C. Mother's characteristics at child age 6** | | | | | |
| Age | 35.254 | 35.127 | 34.984 | 35.764 | 0.000*** |
| | (4.623) | (4.950) | (4.974) | (4.791) | |
| Years of education | 13.600 | 13.459 | 13.385 | 13.791 | 0.000*** |
| | (2.259) | (2.380) | (2.384) | (2.332) | |
| Employed | 0.834 | 0.774 | 0.768 | 0.801 | 0.025** |
| Annual gross total income (thousands 2015 DKK) | 311.193 | 311.125 | 311.050 | 311.456 | 0.925 |
| | (196.587) | (124.427) | (126.250) | (116.007) | |
| **D. Father's characteristics at child age 6** | | | | | |
| Age | 37.752 | 37.633 | 37.476 | 38.333 | 0.000*** |
| | (5.465) | (5.747) | (5.715) | (5.839) | |
| Years of education | 13.728 | 13.610 | 13.569 | 13.793 | 0.016** |
| | (2.425) | (2.566) | (2.579) | (2.502) | |
| Employed | 0.931 | 0.892 | 0.888 | 0.907 | 0.083* |
| Annual gross total income (thousands 2015 DKK) | 425.222 | 415.429 | 414.591 | 419.173 | 0.636 |
| | (453.332) | (300.136) | (310.067) | (251.169) | |
| Observations | 589,023 | 4,940 | 4,036 | 904 | |

# Descriptive statistics

| | Analysis sample | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | All | No SSRI | SSRI | *p*-value |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Had therapy provided by GP or psychologist before first MH visit | 0.155 | 0.125 | 0.289 | 0.000*** |
| Had therapy provided by GP or psychologist after first MH visit | 0.091 | 0.072 | 0.175 | 0.000*** |
| Number of patients treated by specialist during year | 149.527 | 149.553 | 149.414 | 0.959 |
| | (74.248) | (74.383) | (73.681) | |
| Propensity to prescribe SSRIs | 0.122 | 0.118 | 0.142 | 0.000*** |
| | (0.065) | (0.063) | (0.067) | |
| Observations | 4,940 | 4,036 | 904 | |

# First-stage is well-behaved and fairly strong




First-stage coefficient: 0.760 (0.122)
F-statistic: 39.010
An interquartile shift in the distribution of propensity to prescribe increases probability of SSRI by 6 percentage points

# Baseline results: Math scores improve 0.86SD, no discernible impact on Danish

| | OLS | Reduced form | IV |
|---|---|---|---|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| **Math** | 0.058 | 0.652** | 0.858** |
| (Mean $= -0.247, N = 3,630$) | (0.046) | (0.271) | (0.385) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | $[0.225, 1.715]$ |
| Wild bootstrap $p$-value | | | 0.032 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.052 | |
| **Danish** | 0.150*** | 0.135 | 0.180 |
| (Mean $= -0.186, N = 3,576$) | (0.042) | (0.240) | (0.323) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | $[-0.414, .837]$ |
| Wild bootstrap $p$-value | | | 0.533 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.011 | |

# Cannot reject instrument exogeneity

| | SSRI use (1) | Specialist propensity to prescribe SSRI (2) |
|---|---|---|
| Boy | −0.076*** | −0.003* |
| | (0.012) | (0.002) |
| Married parents | 0.043*** | 0.001 |
| | (0.012) | (0.002) |
| Mother's age | 0.002 | 0.000 |
| | (0.001) | (0.000) |
| Mother's years of education | 0.009*** | −0.000 |
| | (0.003) | (0.000) |
| Mother employed | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| | (0.017) | (0.002) |
| Father's age | 0.002 | −0.000 |
| | (0.001) | (0.000) |
| Father's years of education | −0.000 | −0.001 |
| | (0.002) | (0.000) |
| Father employed | −0.002 | 0.004 |
| | (0.022) | (0.003) |
| Observations | 4,915 | 4,915 |

Regressions also include indicators for birth order, family size, and deciles of parental income, and the fixed effects in baseline specification.

# Cannot reject instrument exogeneity

| | Predicted standardized test score | |
|---|---|---|
| | Math | Danish |
| | (1) | (2) |
| Specialist propensity to prescribe | −0.061 | 0.072 |
| | (0.165) | (0.113) |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | −0.005 | 0.006 |
| Mean outcome | 0.016 | −0.030 |
| Observations | 4,915 | 4,915 |

Regressions also control for year-of-diagnosis and municipality-of-residence fixed effects.

# Cannot reject instrument monotonicity

| | Quartile of predicted outcome | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Standardized test score, math | 0.680*** | 0.837*** | 0.704*** | 0.701*** |
| | (0.192) | (0.185) | (0.250) | (0.249) |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | 0.054 | 0.067 | 0.056 | 0.056 |
| Observations | 1,231 | 1,228 | 1,229 | 1,227 |
| Standardized test score, Danish | 0.806*** | 0.662*** | 0.773*** | 0.804*** |
| | (0.165) | (0.185) | (0.270) | (0.286) |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | 0.064 | 0.053 | 0.062 | 0.064 |
| Observations | 1,231 | 1,230 | 1,229 | 1,225 |

# Cannot reject instrument monotonicity

| | Gender | | Mother's years of education | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Boy | Girl | At least 13 | Less than 13 |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| Specialist propensity to prescribe | 0.428*** | 0.933*** | 0.887*** | 0.844*** |
| | (0.102) | (0.230) | (0.124) | (0.205) |
| Mean outcome | 0.148 | 0.227 | 0.190 | 0.157 |
| Observations | 2,143 | 1,487 | 2,618 | 1,012 |
| First-stage F statistic | 17.462 | 16.476 | 51.307 | 16.938 |
| Effect of interquartile change in instrument | 0.058 | 0.062 | 0.083 | 0.074 |


# Math scores improve 0.99SD for girls, smaller and not significant for boys


| | OLS | First stage | Reduced form | IV |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
| **Girls** | 0.056 | 0.823*** | 0.811** | 0.985* |
| (Mean $= -0.295$, $N = 1,487$, First-stage $F = 23.235$) | (0.061) | (0.171) | (0.400) | (0.521) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | | [0.152, 2.113] |
| Wild bootstrap p-value | | | | 0.062 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.066 | 0.065 | |
| **Boys** | 0.051 | 0.691*** | 0.462 | 0.669 |
| (Mean $= -0.217$, $N = 2,143$, First-stage $F = 18.112$) | (0.060) | (0.162) | (0.368) | (0.539) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | | [−0.309, 1.854] |
| Wild bootstrap p-value | | | | 0.236 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.055 | 0.037 | |

# Danish scores do not improve for boys or girls


| | OLS<br>(1) | First stage<br>(2) | Reduced form<br>(3) | IV<br>(4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Girls** | 0.062 | 0.819*** | 0.152 | 0.186 |
| (Mean $= 0.165, N = 1,505$, First-stage $F = 24.029$) | (0.056) | (0.167) | (0.411) | (0.500) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | | $[-0.804, 1.175]$ |
| Wild bootstrap p-value | | | | 0.733 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.065 | 0.012 | |
| **Boys** | 0.216*** | 0.638*** | −0.052 | −0.081 |
| (Mean $= -0.417, N = 2,071$, First-stage $F = 14.552$) | (0.064) | (0.167) | (0.395) | (0.616) |
| Weak-instrument-robust 95% CI | | | | $[-1.316, 1.272]$ |
| Wild bootstrap p-value | | | | 0.898 |
| Effect of interquartile shift in instrument | | 0.051 | −0.004 | |


# Marginal treatment effects, Math: positive almost everywhere



# Marginal treatment effects, Danish: positive only at low unobserved resistance



# Conclusions

- Among 16 year olds diagnosed with MH disorders, Math test scores are 0.86 SD higher among those on SSRI
- Estimates 30% larger and only significant among girls
  - girls are more likely to have MH disorders, have more severe problems (pre-diagnosis therapy: 20% girls vs 12% boys), and are more likely to be prescribed SSRIs
  - evidence suggests that test scores are more elastic to inputs for girls than for boys
- Plausible mechanism: SSRIs improve attention deficit problems

# Next steps

- Explore treatment heterogeneity by other observable characteristics
- Conduct additional robustness checks (age range, treatment definition, clustering etc.)
- Add new outcome variables (test taking behavior, education beyond compulsory schooling, suicide attempts, ER visits, etc.)
- Explore effects along the distribution of test scores (quantile IV)

# Descriptive statistics by type of first mental health contact

| | No psychiatric contact (1) | Hospital contact (2) | Analysis sample (3) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **A. Child characteristics** | | | |
| Boy | 0.506 | 0.581 | 0.613 |
| First born | 0.440 | 0.454 | 0.480 |
| Parents are married | 0.806 | 0.647 | 0.672 |
| Median age at first MH visit | — | 12.417 | 11.583 |
| **B. Mother's characteristics at child age 6** | | | |
| Age | 35.254 | 34.544 | 35.127 |
| | (4.623) | (5.034) | (4.950) |
| Years of education | 13.600 | 12.969 | 13.459 |
| | (2.259) | (2.259) | (2.380) |
| Employed | 0.834 | 0.729 | 0.774 |
| Annual gross total income (thousands 2015 DKK) | 311.193 | 291.915 | 311.125 |
| | (196.587) | (109.530) | (124.427) |
| **C. Father's characteristics at child age 6** | | | |
| Age | 37.752 | 37.270 | 37.633 |
| | (5.465) | (5.839) | (5.747) |
| Years of education | 13.728 | 13.134 | 13.610 |
| | (2.425) | (2.452) | (2.566) |
| Employed | 0.931 | 0.870 | 0.892 |
| Annual gross total income (thousands 2015 DKK) | 425.222 | 375.736 | 415.429 |
| | (453.332) | (230.542) | (300.136) |
| Observations | 589,023 | 28,573 | 4,940 |

# Descriptive statistics by type of first mental health contact

| | No psychiatric contact (1) | Hospital contact (2) | Analysis sample (3) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **D. Treatments, age 8–15** | | | |
| Ever filled an SSRI prescription | 0.001 | 0.115 | 0.183 |
| Median age at first SSRI prescription | 14.250 | 13.667 | 13.083 |
| Median days between first MH visit and first SSRI prescription | — | 112 | 61 |
| Had therapy provided by GP or psychologist after first MH visit | — | 0.090 | 0.091 |
| **E. Guidelines violations** | | | |
| Filled SSRI prescription before first MH visit | — | 0.020 | 0.025 |
| Ever filled a tricyclic antidepressant prescription, age 8–15 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| Ever filled a benzodiazepine prescription, age 8–15 | 0.006 | 0.029 | 0.021 |
| **F. Clinic characteristics** | | | |
| Transfer between private clinic and hospital | — | 0.026 | 0.164 |
| Number of patients treated during year | — | 899.688 | 149.527 |
| | | (841.503) | (74.248) |
| Propensity to prescribe SSRIs | — | 0.117 | 0.122 |
| | | (0.055) | (0.065) |
| Observations | 589,023 | 28,573 | 4,940 |