

**Comments on Bernd Berber and Christopher Blattman's  
"The Industrial Organization of Rebellion:  
The Logic of Forced Labor & Child Soldiering"**

Justin Wolfers

Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Brookings, CEPR, CESifo, IZA and NBER

*AEA Annual Conference, Atlanta, January 3, 2010.*

# Child Soldiering

---



**Justin Wolfers, *Comments on the IO of Rebellion***

# Theory: The Rebel Leader's Problem

---

- ❑ The Principal: Rebel leader maximizes “output” less “wages”
  - ▶ Output: A function of unobservable effort by agent
  - ▶ Wages: A share of output (reward), or costly punishment
- ❑ Rebel leader chooses:
  - ▶ Whether to recruit children or adults ( $\theta$ : productivity-type)
  - ▶ The extent of punishment or reward ( $\rho$ : “Pay-for-performance”)

## Subject to:

- ▶ Incentive compatibility constraint: “wage contract” elicits effort
  - Reflects agent's labor supply preferences
- ▶ Participation constraint: The recruit won't abscond
  - New ingredient: Differs for adults and children
  - Particularly important constraint on adults

# Theory: Some observations

---

## □ Key result:

*“It is never optimal to coerce high-ability individuals [adults] since their incentives to escape punishment are too great.”*

- ▶ *Why? “Otherwise high productivity agents [adults]—who have a higher expectation of evading capture—will run away when threatened.”*
- ▶ Participation constraint (whether to abscond) will bind for adults

## □ This is an unusual result:

Optimal pay-for-performance link depends on productivity

- ▶ Usual principal-agent logic:
  - Use pay-for-performance to ensure incentive compatibility constraint is met
  - Use base pay to ensure participation constraint is met
- ▶ Blattman’s model: Critical role played by the participation constraint
  - High types (adults) more likely to successfully abscond
- ▶ Peculiarities of Blattman’s model:
  - Allows pay-for-performance, but no base pay
- ▶ Not a general result. Race between productivity and reservation wage
  - Both higher for adults. But which is higher, by more?

# What do we learn from the formal model?

---

- ❑ Child soldiering and threats of punishment may occur if:
  - ▶ Punishment is cheap, relative to rewards ( $k$ )
  - ▶ Adult soldiers are particularly likely to abscond ( $p(\theta)$ )
  - ▶ Children believe lies they don't have better options ( $m(\theta)$ )
  - ▶ You can indoctrinate children to enjoy killing ( $n(\theta)$ )
  - ▶ Other economic opportunities arise for adults ( $v(\theta)$ )
  - ▶ Others:
    - Resource constraints may lead to substituting punishment for rewards
    - If many troops are needed, children may help you get to “critical mass”
    - Public support may be undermined by child soldiering
  
- ❑ **Authors view:**  
*“Agency and contract theory will remain a powerful tool of rebel analysis”*
  
- ❑ **Alternative view:**  
Do we need an imperfect info model to generate these insights?

# Linking Theory and Empirics—Across Rebel Groups

---

## □ Authors suggest:

- ▶ *“We should not observe groups that recruit large numbers of young adolescents via rewards, nor should we observe armed groups that recruit large numbers of adults via coercion.”*
  - A statement about equilibrium
- ▶ *“In particular, there should be a negative correlation between age of recruitment and use of coercion”*
  - A statement about labor demand: the rebel leaders’ incentives
  - If supply conditions vary...

## □ Empirical approach: Compare rebel groups in different conflicts

- ▶ Recruitment patterns are an equilibrium
  - Reflecting both demand and supply conditions
- ▶ If differences across conflicts reflect differences in demand conditions
  - Data will trace out the labor supply curve
  - (Wrongly) “Falsifying” the prediction about the demand curve

# Linking Theory and Empirics—Within a Country

---

- ❑ *“the ‘ideal’ experiment would be one where different recruitment arrangements (or contracts) were offered to random samples of civilians, with responses observed for all recruits by age”*
  - ▶ Authors compare responses of different age groups to the same “contract”. (A “natural experiment”)
- ❑ Variation in recruitment arrangements is informative:
  - ▶ Will trace out labor supply, revealing utility function of potential soldiers
  - ▶ But says nothing about:
    - Objectives of rebel leaders;
    - Degree of imperfect information
    - Production function
- ❑ Reminder: Key model results are equilibrium predictions about optimal contracts
  - ▶ Given labor supply, rebel leader’s objectives, production function, information imperfections
  - ▶ Ideal experiment allows these factors to vary, and observes contracts offered

# Why Coerce Child Soldiers?

---

1. Milgrom: Cheaper to provide incentives for (unobservable) effort
2. Milgram: Obedience to authority figures
3. Spence: Signaling commitment of rebel leaders
4. Becker: The price of child labor is lower
5. Fama-French: Age is a proxy for risk

Final (Popperian) comment: The empirical findings

- ❑ Fail to falsify the Milgrom view
- ❑ Fail to falsify these competing explanations