Natio	onal Supported Wo (Treatment and Co	ork Sample ontrol)		
Variable	Lalond	e Sample	Deheiia-Wa	nba Sample
	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control
Age	24.63	24.45	25.81	25.05
	(0.39)	(0.32)	(0.52)	(0.45)
Years of schooling	10.38	10.19	10.35	10.09
	(0.11)	(0.08)	(0.15)	(0.1)
Proportion of School Dropouts	0.73	0.81	0.71	0.83
	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Proportion of Blacks	0.80	0.80	0.84	0.83
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Proportion of Hispanic	0.09	0.11	0.059	0.1
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.017)	(0.019)
Proportion Married	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.15
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)
No. of Children	0.38	0.36	0.41	0.37
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.06)
No show variable	0 (0)	n/a	0 (0)	n/a
Month of Assignment (Jan. 1978=0)	16.47	15.73	18.49	17.86
	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.36)	(0.35)
Real Earnings 12 months before training	1,771	1,700	1,689	1,425
	(175)	(161)	(235)	(182)
Real Earnings 24 months before training	3,571	3,672	2,096	2,107
	(335)	(316)	(359)	(353)
Hours Worked 1 year Before Training	322	293	294	243
	(29)	(24)	(36)	(27)
Hours Worked 2 Years Before Training	543	516	306	267
	(43)	(36)	(46)	(37)
Sample Size	297	425	185	260

Tables for Dehejia-Wahba (1995/96/97/98), original thesis version of Dehejia-Wahba (1999)

 Table 1: Sample Means and Standard Errors of Covariates For Male NSW Participants

					Sample C	Characteristics	3					
Control Sample	No of Obs.	Age	School	Black	Hisp	Ndegree	Married	RE74 US\$	RE75 US\$	RE78 US\$	U74	U75
NSW	185	25.81	10.35	0.84	0.059	0.71	0.19	2,096	1,532	6,349	0.71	0.60
PSID-1	2,490	34.85	12.11	0.25	0.032	0.31	0.87	19,429	19,063	21,542	0.09	0.10
PSID-2	253	36.10	10.77	0.39	0.067	0.49	0.74	11,027	7,569	9,996	0.34	0.23
PSID-3	128	38.25	10.30	0.45	0.18	0.51	0.70	5,566	2,611	5,279	0.41	0.61
CPS-1	15,992	33.22	12.02	0.07	0.07	0.29	0.71	14,016	13,650	14,847	0.10	0.12
CPS-2	2,369	28.25	11.24	0.11	0.08	0.45	0.46	8,728	7,397	10,171	0.18	0.21
CPS-3	429	28.03	10.23	0.21	0.14	0.60	0.51	5,619	2,467	6,984	0.31	0.26

Table 2: Sample Means of Characteristics for NSW and Control Samples

Definition of Control Groups (Lalonde 1986): PSID-1: All male household heads continuously from 1975 through 1978, who were less than 55 years old and did not classify themselves as retired in 1975. PSID-2: Selects from PSID1 group all men who were not working when surveyed in the spring of 1976. PSID-3: Selects from PSID2 all men who were not working in 1975. CPS-2: Selects from CPS-1 all males who were not working when surveyed in March 1976. CPS-3: Selects from CPS-2 all the unemployed males in 1976 whose income in 1975 was below the poverty level.

			Using	Comparison (Froups from th	e PSID and the C	PS-SSA			
Comp- arison Group	Comparison Group Earnings Growth 1975-1978	I	NSW Treatme Comparison	nt Earnings I Group Earnir	Less Igs	Difference in Difference in Growth 19 Treatme Compan	Differences: 1 Earnings 175-1978 nt Less risons	Unre Diffe Diffe Quasi Di Earning 197	stricted rence in rences: ifference in 25 Growth 5-1978	Controlling for All Observed Variables and Pre-Training Earnings
		Pre-Trainir	ng Year 1975	Post-Trainin	ng Year, 1978					
		Unad-	Adjusted ^c	Unad-	Adjusted ^c	Without Age	With Age	Unad-	Adjusted ^c	
		justed		justed				justed		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NSW	3.288	265	232	1.794	1.672	1.529	1.510	1.750	1.631	1.672
	(375)	(303)	(306)	(632)	(637)	(679)	(681)	(632)	(637)	(638)
DCID 1	2 400	17 521	10 209	15 205	7741	2 227	1 120	590	265	219
1510-1	(217)	(1002)	(1008)	(1154)	(1175)	(813)	(827)	(841)	(880)	(866)
DCID 2	2 427	C 027	5 044	2 (17	2.910	2 200	1 200	720	207	007
PSID-2	(152)	-6,037 (695)	-5,244 (825)	-3,047 (959)	-2,810 (1081)	2,390 (839)	(928)	(886)	(1004)	(1004)
PSID-3	2,669	-1,079	-1,081	1,069	35	2,148	864	1,370	243	822
	(745)	(498)	(639)	(899)	(1100)	(958)	(1121)	(896)	(1100)	(1101)
CPS-1	1,196	-12,119	-6,908	-8,498	-4,417	3,621	2,452	-78	525	738
	(161)	(682)	(628)	(712)	(713)	(570)	(557)	(536)	(556)	(547)
CPS-2	2,774	-5,866	-4,325	-3,822	-2,208	2,043	1,629	-263	371	879
	(164)	(600)	(596)	(670)	(745)	(610)	(593)	(573)	(662)	(654)
CDS 3	4 517	034	044	635	375	200	278	01	844	1 326
013-3	(334)	(287)	(354)	-055	(821)	299 (647)	(649)	(641)	(807)	(796)
	(22.)	(-0.)	(22.)	(00.)	(0=1)	(8)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(007)	(,, ;;)

Table 3: Lalonde's Earnings Comparisons and Estimated Tr	raining Effects for the NSW Male Participants
Using Comparison Groups from the P	'SID and the CPS-SSA ^{a,b}

^a The columns above present the estimated training effect for each econometric model and comparison group using the sample defined in Table 1. The dependent variable is earnings in 1978. Based on the experimental data an unbiased estimate of the impact of training presented in col. 4 is \$ 1,794. The first three columns present the difference between each comparison group's 1975 and 1978 earnings and the differences between the pre-training earnings of each comparison group and the NSW treatments. The estimates are in 1982 dollars. The number in parentheses are the standard errors. ^b For a definition of the comparisons groups see Table 2.

^c The exogenous variables used in the regressions adjusted equations are age, age squared, years of schooling, high school dropout status, and race.

	NSW EARN COMPARIS EARN	NINGS LESS SON GROUP NINGS		ON GROUP EARN PENSITY SCORE	IINGS,				
			LINEAR WITH SCORE ^g	LINEAR STRATIFYING WITH ON THE SCORE SCORE ^g			MATCH ON THE S	WEIGHTING WITH THE SCORE ^r	
						Obs. ^h			
	(1) Unadjusted	(2) Adjusted ^a	(3)	(4) Unadjusted	(5) Adjusted ^a	(6)	(7) Unadjusted	(8) Adjusted ^e	(9)
NSW	1,794 (633)	1,672 (638)							
PSID-1 ^b	-15,205 (1154)	218 (866)	542 (1197)	1,509 (1823)	1,647 (1862)	1,255	2,190 (761)	1,690 (1020)	1,129 (2927)
PSID-2 ^c	-3,647 (959)	907 (1004)	434 (1193)	1,648 (2010)	2,538 (2063)	389	870 (977)	826 (962)	1,951 (1178)
PSID-3 ^c	1,069 (899)	822 (1101)	862 (1334)	1,829 (2250)	2,308 (2468)	247	1,534 (1223)	1,740 (1063)	1,618 (1231)
CPS-1 ^d	-8,498 (712)	738 (547)	893 (642)	1,713 (1115)	1,774 (1152)	4,117	1,253 (988)	1,174 (798)	1,485 (3148)
CPS-2 ^d	-3,822 (670)	879 (654)	399 (765)	1,358 (1432)	1,378 (1582)	1493	1,445 (962)	1,589 (946)	862 (4059)
CPS-3 ^d	-635 (657)	1,326 (796)	526 (891)	1,335 (1765)	1,023 (1956)	514	-466 (951)	-372 (945)	379 (2308)

II. Regressions;

(a) Least Squares Regression: Re78 on c, expstat, age, age2, educ, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75.

(b) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, re 74^2 , re 75^2 u74*hisp)

(c) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, nodegree, married, black, hisp, re74, re 74², re75, re75², u74, u75)

(d) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74, age3)

(e) Weighted Least Squares (same as [a]) using number of matched control observations to treated observations as weights.

(f) Weights for treated $W_{it} = 1$; Weights for control $W_{ic} = p_i/1 - p_i$ averaged over the number of treated observations.

(g) Least squares regression (a) including score.

III. Sample Size;

(h): Number of observations refer to actual number of control and treated used for (3) to (5).

	Num Observ	ber of vations	Average Score ^b	NSW Treatment Earnings Less Comparison group Earnings			
Score Range	NSW	PSID		Unadjusted	Adjusted ^c		
0.0004-0.1	9	942	0.022	-2,693 (3660)	-4,896 (2830)		
0.1-0.2	10	57	0.13	-2,759 (5462)	1,078 (5355)		
0.2-0.45	19	39	0.34	-1,171 (1410)	-1,070 (1424)		
0.45-0.6	20	15	0.53*	-3,044 (2354)	-4,244 (2700)		
0.6-0.85	35	10	0.70	-837 (2603)	-265 (2822)		
0.85-1	92	7	0.94	4,819 (3412)	4,918 (3469)		
Weighted Average	185	1,070	0.70	1,509 (1823)	1,647 (1862)		

Table 5: Estimating Treatment Effect for NSW Male Group with PSID-1 Control Group Stratifying on the Score^a

Notes:

a: Logit used is reported in Table 4.

b: Average estimated score for treated observations

c: OLS as reported in Table 4.

*: Difference in score between treated and control observations significant at 5 %.

	Num Observ	ber of vations	Average Score ^b	NSW Treatment Earnings Less Comparison group Earnings			
Score Range	NSW	CPS		Unadjusted	Adjusted ^c		
0.001-0.01	10	2767	0.003	-1,740 (2596)	-2,242 (2134)		
0.01-0.1	30	935	0.078^{*}	-139 (1354)	547 (1175)		
0.1-0.3	32	150	0.187	500 (1109)	493 (1038)		
0.3-0.5	35	46	0.39	200 (1397)	456 (1462)		
0.5-0.6	22	17	0.56	6,445 (2604)	4,895 (3116)		
0.6-0.85	26	12	0.7	2,364 (2213)	3,683 (2521)		
0.85-1	30	5	0.90	3,740 (5772)	3,299 (5869)		
Weighted Average	185	3,932	0.43	1,713 (1115)	1,774 (1152)		

 Table 6: Estimating Treatment Effect for NSW Male Group with CPS-1 Control Group

 Stratifying on the Score^a

a: Logit as reported in Table 4.

b: Average estimated score for treated observations

c: OLS as reported in Table 4.

*: Difference in score between treated and control observations significant at 5 %.

						Sample	e Characteris	tics				
MATCHED SAMPLES	No. of Obs.	Age	School	Black	Hisp	Ndegree	Married	RE74 US\$	RE75 US\$	RE78 US\$	U74	U75
NSW	185	25.81	10.35	0.84	0.059	0.71	0.19	2,096	1,532	6,349	0.71	0.60
MPSID-1	52	25.64	10.57	0.85	0.01	0.65	0.16	1,845	1,385	4,159	0.65	0.57
MPSID-2	31	26.03	11.03	0.88	0.01	0.57	0.16	2,190	1,506	4,815	0.69	0.59
MPSID-3	43	25.56	11.03	0.91	0.01	0.59	0.19	2,227	2,365	5,479	0.68	0.57
MCPS-1	106	25.58	10.80	0.88	0.03	0.60	0.18	1,792	1,435	5,278	0.77	0.60
MCPS-2	87	26.17	10.43	0.84	0.08	0.62	0.16	2,487	1,699	4,904	0.70	0.50
MCPS-3	63	25.49	10.50	0.89	0.05	0.68	0.16	1,771	1,666	6,816	0.67	0.71

 Table 7: Sample Means of Characteristics for Matched Control Samples

	14510 0.	11411111				anaco D	locking of	Ibeleeu				v maie 1	cathlent	oroup	
	No. 0	f Obs.	Treatment I	Effect US\$				5	Sample Mea	ns of Covar	iates (s.e.)				
			(s.e	e.)											
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)											
	Treat	Con-	Unadjust	Diff.	Age	Educ	Black	Hisp	Ndegree	Married	RE74 ^a	RE75	MOA	U74 ^a	U75
	ment	trol	ed	with							US\$	US\$			
				Comp. ^b											
Sample-1	297	425	886	•	24.63	10.38	0.8	0.09	0.73^{*}	0.17	3,571	3,066	16.47	0.44	0.37
1			(476)								,	<i>,</i>			
Sample-2	185	260	1.794		25.81	10.35	0.84	0.059	0.71^{*}	0.19	2.096	2.107	18.49	0.71	0.60
Sumpro -	100	200	(633)			10100	0101	01003	0171	0.17	_,020	_,107	10115	0171	0.00
			()												
Black	156	215	2 029		25.98	10 31	1	0	0.72^{*}	0.19	2 1 5 5	1 491	18 38	0.71	0.62
Didek	150	215	(706)		25.70	10.51	1	U	0.72	0.17	2,133	1,171	10.50	0.71	0.02
Non-	29	45	803	1 226	24.93	10.52	0	0.38	0.62	0.21	1 776	1 755	19.06	0.69	0.52
Ron-	29	45	(1331)	(1703)	24.93	10.52	0	0.58	0.02	0.21	1,770	1,755	19.00	0.09	0.52
DIACK			(1551)	(1705)											
Ndagraa	121	217	1 154		25 27	0.47	0.96	0.07	1	0.20	1 5 4 5	1 602	19 50	0.74	0.61
nuegree	151	217	1,134		23.37	9.47	0.80	0.07	1	0.20	1,343	1,005	16.50	0.74	0.01
1— 1	51	42	(090)	2.027	26.90	12.40	0.90	0.04	0	0.17	4 421	1 200	10.40	0.62	0.57
Ndegree	54	43	3,192	-2,037	26.89	12.46	0.80	0.04	0	0.17	4,431	1,360	18.48	0.63	0.57
=0			(1517)	(1523)											
	00	110	2 005		a < 5 0	11.01	0.04	0.02	0.45*	0.01	0 410	1 401	10.50	0.00	0.61
Educ	98	113	3,085		26.59	11.81	0.84	0.03	0.45	0.21	2,419	1,401	18.52	0.69	0.61
>=11			(1033)												
Educ	87	147	402	2,683	24.94	8.70	0.85	0.09	1	0.16	1,731	1,680	18.46	0.72	0.58
<11			(753)	(1265)											

Table 8: Training Effect and Sample Means of Covariates Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics for NSW Male Treatment Group

	Table 8	: Trainin	ng Effect and	d Sample M	leans of Co	ovariates	Blocking	on Select	ed Sample (Characteris	tics for NS	SW Male	Group (Co	ont)	
Cov.	No. of	f Obs.	Treat Effect (s.e	ment t US\$ e.)				S	Sample Mea	ns of Covar	iates (s.e.))			
	Treat ment	Cont rol	Diff. in mean	Diff. with Comp.	Age	Educ	Black	Hisp	Ndegre	Married	RE74 US\$	RE75 US\$	MOA	U74	U75
MOA >=18	108	141	2,717 (956)	- -	25.37	10.49	0.82	0.07	0.71	0.20	3,590	2,596	22.32	0.5	0.32*
MOA <18	77	119	482 (748)	2,235 (1272)	26.44	10.14	0.87	0.04	0.70	0.17	0	40	13.12	1	0.99
U74=1	131	195	2,692 (722)		26.52	10.25	0.85	0.05	0.74*	0.16	0	434	16.95	1	0.83
U74=0	54	65	-685 (1278)	3,376 (1414)	24.11	10.57	0.83	0.09	0.63*	0.26	7,179	4,195	22.22*	0	0.04
U75=1	111	178	1,711 (681)		26.64	10.25	0.86	0.05	0.72	0.14	73.08	0	16.08	0.98	1
U75=0	74	82	1,691 (1289)	20 (1320)	24.58	10.49	0.81	0.08	0.69	0.25	5,129	3,830	22	0.30	0

Table 8: Training Effect and Sample Means of Covariates Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics for NSW Male Group (Con

*=difference between treated and control is significant at 5%. Age=age of participant. Educ= No. high school years. Black: proportion of black in sample. Hisp: proportion of hispanics in sample. Ndegree: indicator of participants with no school degrees. Married: proportion of married participants. RE74: real earnings (1982 US\$) in 1974. RE74: real earnings (1982 US\$) in 1974. RE75: real earnings (US\$ 1982) in 1975. MOA: month of assignment to experiment (Jan. 1978=0). U74: unemployed in 1974. U75: unemployed in 1975.

a: For Sample 1, RE74 and U74 refer to earnings two years prior to assignmennt and unemployed two years prior to assignment respectively.

b: Difference in average training effect between a group and its complement.

	Table 9a: Treatment Effect for NSW Male and CPS Control Sample										
	Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics (s.e.)										
Sample	All	Black	Non-Black	Ndgree=1	Ndgree=0	Educ >=11	Educ <11				
NSW	1,794 (633)	2,029 (706)	803 (1331)	1,154 (696)	3,192 (1517)	3,085 (1033)	402 (753)				
CPS-1:											
Unadjusted	-8,498	-5,870	-7,578	-6,936	-7,750	-8,040	-7,541				
	(712)	(785)	(1789)	(793)	(1329)	(987)	(967)				
Adjusted	738	1,487	1,087	511	1,946	1,628	223				
	(547)	(617)	(1311)	(635)	(1004)	(760)	(749)				
Stratifying on the Score:											
-Unadjusted	1,713	1,738	1,367	1,439	2,475	2,501	1,012				
-	(1115)	(1191)	(1397)	(1345)	(1420)	(985)	(883)				
-Adjusted	1,774	1,905	1,799	1,144	2,683	2,377	738				
	(1152)	(1331)	(1847)	(1536)	(1256)	(1913)	(1149)				

Table 9a: Treatment Effect For NSW Male And CPS Control Sample (cont.)								
	Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics (s.e.)							
Sample	All	U74=1	U74=0	U75=1	U75=0			
NSW	1,794	2,692	-685	1,711	1,691			
	(633)	(722)	(1278)	(681)	(1289)			
CPS-1:								
Unadjusted	-8,498	1,710	-10,735	1,949	-9,198			
-	(712)	(647)	(1248)	(665)	(1058)			
Adjusted	738	3,189	-3,275	2,812	-1,132			
	(547)	(699)	(982)	(728)	(844)			
Stratifying on the Score:								
-Unadjusted	1,713	3,334	-1,912	2,582	214			
5	(1115)	(1398)	(1085)	(1070)	(1334)			
-Adjusted	1,774	3,445	-1,064	2,523	-153			
3	(1152)	(1578)	(1340)	(1278)	(1061)			

Note: Adjusted training effect uses least squares regressions of Table 4.

Table 9b: Treatment Effect for NSW Male and PSID Control Sample											
	Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics (s.e.)										
Sample	All	Black	Non-Black	Ndgree=1	Ndgree=0	Educ >=11	Educ <11				
NSW	1,794 (633)	2,029 (706)	803 (1331)	1,154 (696)	3,192 (1517)	3,085 (1033)	402 (753)				
PSID-1:											
Unadjusted	-15,205 (1154)	-9,733 (1002)	-15,961 (3008)	-9,701 (1148)	-16,233 (2172)	-16,117 (1623)	-10,043 (1335)				
Adjusted	218 (866)	1,091 (916)	-632 (2078)	1,695 (993)	179 (1569)	1,071 (1222)	474 (1165)				
Stratifying on the Score:											
-Unadjusted	1,509 (1823)	1,486 (2067)	2,880 (2366)	1,667 (2298)	1,137 (2907)	1,806 (2522)	1,381 (2163)				
-Adjusted	1,647 (1862)	1,936 (2146)		1,826 (2435)	1,435 (3425)	1,001 (2725)	1,694 (2305)				

Note: Adjusted training effect uses least squares regressions of Table 4.

Table 9b: Treatment Effect for NSW Male and PSID Control Sample (cont.)									
	Blocking on Selected Sample Characteristics (s.e.)								
Sample	All	U74=1	U74=0	U75=1	U75=0				
NSW	1,794	2,692	-685	1,711	1,691				
	(633)	(722)	(1278)	(681)	(1289)				
PSID-1:									
Unadjusted	-15,205	-446	-17,465	501	-16,364				
	(1154)	(1499)	(2022)	(1364)	(1720)				
Adjusted	218	4,534	-4,428	1,823	-1527				
	(866)	(1702)	(1431)	(1813)	(1241)				
Stratifying on the Score:									
-Unadjusted	1,509	4,444	-4,681	4,160	-931				
	(1823)	(25000	(1576)	(2449)	(3518)				
-Adjusted	1,647	4,408	-3,285	4,935	-2,854				
	(1862)	(2458)	(1939)	(2514)	(4883)				

Note: Adjusted training effect uses least squares regressions of Table 4.

LOCIT		INCS I ESS	of Listifiated 11	NSW COMPADIS		T E A DNING	S I ESS COMPADIS	ON CROUDEAD	NINCS
BY SAMPLE	COMPARIS	SON GROUP	CONDITIONAL ON THE ESTIMATED PROPENSITY SCORE						
DISINILL	EARN	JINGS					I DEGIL		
			LINEAR WITH SCORE ^d	STRATIFYING ON THE SCORE			MATCH ON THE S	WEIGHTING WITH THE SCORE ^c	
						No. Obs. ^e			
	(1) Unadjusted	(2) Adjusted ^a	(3)	(4) Unadjusted	(5) Adjusted ^a	(6)	(7) Unadjusted	(8) Adjusted ^b	(9)
NSW	1,794 (633)	1,674 (638)				445			
PSID-1:			•						
1	-15,205	218	542	1,509	1,647	1,255	2,190	1,690	1,129
	(1154)	(866)	(1197)	(1823)	(1862)		(761)	(1020)	(2927)
2	-15,205	105	-225	1,348	2,128	1,465	871	795	2,017
	(1154)	(863)	(1217)	(1558)	(1699)		(988)	(937)	(2673)
3	-15,205	105	463	1,044	136	1,373	2,124	2,338	2,125
	(1154)	(863)	(1080)	(1087)	(1226)		(869)	(842)	(1570)
CPS-1:									
4	-8,498	738	893	1,713	1,774	4,117	1,253	1,174	1,485
	(712)	(547)	(642)	(1115)	(1152)		(988)	(798)	(3148)
5	-8,498	684	1,103	1,485	1,636	6,365	1,179	1,258	1,414
	(712)	(546)	(614)	(653)	(682)		(821)	(897)	(2221)
6	-8,498	684	1,147	1,456	1,728	6,017			1,236
	(712)	(546)	(582)	(595)	(610)				(1824)

Table 10: Sensitivity of Estimated Training Effects for the NSW Male Participants to Specification of the Propensity Score

I. Regressions;

a: Least Squares Regression: Re78 over, c, expstat, age, educ, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75.
b: Weighted Least Squares (same as [a]) using number of matched control observations to treated observations as weights. Logit 1= Same as Table 4; Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, re 74², re75² u74*hisp) Logit 2=Logit 1-high order terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, nodegree, married, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, u74*hisp) Logit 3=Logit 2- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, nodegree, married, black, hisp, re74, re75)
Logit 4=Same as Table 4: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74, age3)
Logit 5=Logit 4 - high order terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74, age3)
Logit 6=Logit 5- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74)
Logit 6=Logit 5- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74)
Logit 6=Logit 5- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74)
Logit 6=Logit 5- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75, u74, u75, educ*re74)
Logit 6=Logit 5- interaction terms: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, educ, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re74, re75)
c: Weights for treated W_{it} =1; Weights for control W_{ic} = p_i/1-p_i averaged over the number of treated observations.
d: Least squares regression as in (a) and including score.

II. Sample Size;

e: Number of observations refer to actual number of control and treated used under stratification used in (3)-(5).

Table 11: Sensitivity of Estimated Training Effects for the NSW Male Participants When Dropping Pre-Treatment Earnings in 1974										
	NSW EARN COMPARIS EARN	NINGS LESS SON GROUP NINGS	NSW COMPARISON TREATMENT EARNINGS LESS COMPARISON GROUP EARNINGS CONDITIONAL ON THE ESTIMATED PROPENSITY SCORE							
			LINEAR WITH SCORE ⁱ	STRATIFYING ON THE SCORE			MAT ON TH	CHING E SCORE	WEIGHTING WITH THE SCORE ^h	
						Obs ^j				
	(1) Unadjusted	(2) Adjusted ^a	(3)	(4) Unadjusted	(5) Adjusted ^a	(6)	(7) Unadjusted	(8) Adjusted ^g	(9)	
NSW	1,794 (633)	1,631 (637)								
PSID-1 ^b	-15,205 (1154)	-265 (880)	-1,085 (1110)	-47 (1442)	635 (1455)	1284	547 (1419)	1,597 (1308)	-251 (3342)	
PSID-2 ^c	-3,647	297	-544	223	-592	356	647	1,298	1,067	
PSID-3 ^d	(959) 1,069 (899)	(1004) 243 (1100)	(1149) 1,366 (1246)	(1619) 586 (1551)	(1615) 440 (1620)	252	(1232) 1,558 (1059)	(1033) 2,093 (940)	-355 (1478)	
CPS-1 ^e	-8,498	525	949	1,294	1,207	4,558	1,827	1,969	1,198 (2748)	
CPS-2 ^f	-3 822	371	656	1 475	1 661	1 222	974	857	2 238	
015-2	(670)	(662)	(706)	(1054)	(1101)	1,222	(777)	(813)	(1528)	
CPS-3 ^f	-635 (657)	844 (807)	988 (860)	1,044 (1417)	1,129 (1509)	504	1,325 (928)	1,074 (942)	1,241 (1494)	

I. Regressions;

(a) Least Squares Regression: Re78 over, c, expstat, age, age2, educ, nodegree, black, hisp, re75.

(b) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re75, u75, re75², u75*hisp)

(c) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, his p, re75, u75, re75², re75³)

(d) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, nodegree, married, black, hisp, re75, re75², u75)

(e) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re75, u75, educ*re75, age3)

(f) Logit: Prob (expstat=1)=F(age, age2, educ, educ2, married, nodegree, black, hisp, re75, u75, educ*re75)

(g) Weighted Least Squares (same as [a]) using number of matched control observations to treated observations as weights.

(h) Weights for treated $W_{it} = 1$; Weights for control $W_{ic} = p_i/1 - p_i$ averaged over the number of treated observations.

(i) Least squares regression as (a) including score.

II. Sample Size;

(j): Number of observations refer to actual number of control and treated (185) used (3)to (5).